represent targets that have acquired 0.1% of the residual kinetic energy of the projectile and have masses 100 and 1000 times as large as that of the projectile. These systems have a ratio of momentum to kinetic energy of 316:1 and 1000:1, respectively. In systems with large differences in the relative mass of the components, one should be cautious before assuming that the momentum and kinetic energy of any one component is negligible.

## References

<sup>1</sup> Giere, A. C., "Some energy and momentum considerations in the perforation of plates," AIAA J. 2, 1471–1472 (1964).

<sup>2</sup> Jameson, R. L. and Williams, J. S., "Velocity losses of

cylindrical steel projectiles perforating mild steel plates," Ballistic Research Lab., BRL Rept. 1019 (July 1957).

<sup>3</sup> Spells, K. E., "Velocities of steel fragments after perforation

of steel plate," Proc. Phys. Soc. London 64, 212–218 (1951).

4 Recht, R. F. and Ipson, T. W., "Ballistic perforation dynam-

ics," J. Appl. Mech. **30**, 384–390 (1963).

<sup>5</sup> Nishiwaki, J., "Resistance to the penetration of a bullet through an aluminum plate," J. Phys. Soc. Japan 6, 374 (1951).

## Comment on "Angle of Attack from **Body-Fixed Rate Gyros**"

Albert E. Seames\* Hughes Aircraft Company, Tucson, Ariz.

THE condition  $\dot{\alpha} = 0$  when  $\dot{\Omega} = 0$ , which Nelson<sup>1</sup> used to develop a technique for measuring the angle of attack of a symmetric, spinning body, can be alternately derived without algebraic manipulation. A clearer physical interpretation of the vehicle motion is obtained by the alternate method.

Instead of the Euler equations, use the equation of motion derived by Nidey and Seames<sup>2</sup> for a coordinate system rotating with the transverse angular velocity:

$$M_{\tau} = I_{\tau} \dot{\omega}_{\tau} \tag{1}$$

$$M_{\nu} = I_{\tau}\omega_{\tau}\Omega_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda}\omega_{\lambda}\omega_{\tau} \tag{2}$$

$$M_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda} \dot{\omega}_{\lambda} \tag{3}$$

where  $\dot{\beta}_{\lambda} \equiv \dot{\Omega}$ . We obtain the result  $\dot{\Omega} = 0$  when  $\epsilon = \phi$  (or  $\epsilon=\phi+180^\circ$ ) by inspection of Eq. (1) since the latter equality implies  $M_{\tau}=0$ . Thus, the need for algebraic manipulation is eliminated.

A symmetric body at a preatmospheric altitude precesses with the well-known free-body motion. After entering the atmosphere the body is constrained by the influence of the aerodynamic moment to precess about an axis other than that formed by the moment of momentum vector. In fact, the body precesses with the angular velocity  $\omega_p$  (or  $\Omega$  in notation of Ref. 2) the same as the  $\tau$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\lambda$  coordinate system. Because the coordinates and the body share the transverse component of angular velocity

$$\omega_{\nu} = \Omega_{\lambda} \lambda + \omega_{\tau} \tau \tag{4}$$

where  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  can be found from Eq. (2).

## References

<sup>1</sup> Nelson, E. O., "Angle of attack from body-fixed rate gyros," AIAA J. 2, 1324-1325 (1964).

<sup>2</sup> Nidey, R. A. and Seames, A. E., "Correction and extension of the concept of cross-spin control," AIAA J. 1, 2198 (1963).

Received October 6, 1964.

\* Member of the Technical Staff, Tucson Engineering Laboratory.

## Comment on' "Motion of the Center of Gravity of a Variable-Mass Body"

VIRGIL W. SNYDER\*

Kitt Peak National Observatory, † Tucson, Ariz.

N a recent note, Punga has shown the effects of a moving center of mass on the equation of motion of a variable mass body. The result that Punga was seeking can be found in the literature,2-5 but his result disagrees with previously published results. It is this author's contention that the premise upon which Punga's paper was based is false.

Punga's basic premise was that the equation of motion for a variable-mass system can be expressed in the following form:

$$\int (d^2\mathbf{R}/dt^2)dm = \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{K} \tag{1}$$

where the integration is extended over the mass of the body at time t. He defines **F** as the external force acting on the body and K, the reactive force acting on the body which is produced by mass ejection. Equation (1) is an extension of Newton's second law to any body, but should not include the term K since this imaginary force is a by-product of the left-hand side of the equation.

Thorpe<sup>2</sup> has derived a relation for the motion of the center of mass of a variable-mass body in which he started with the classical formulation of Newton's second law, namely,

$$\mathbf{F} = \int \mathbf{a} dm \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{a}$  is the acceleration of the element of mass dm. Thorpe's result is as follows:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{d}{dt} (M\mathbf{V}^*) + \int_s \rho \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds + \frac{d}{dt} \int_s \rho(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}^*)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds \quad (3)$$

where  $V^*$  is the velocity of the mass center, u is the absolute velocity of the mass particle, and v is the velocity of the boundary. Let us denote the absolute velocity of the escaping gases as ve, and from physical reasoning the mass rate of flow across the boundary can be written as

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -\int_{s} \rho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds \tag{4}$$

Let us define a new quantity

$$\mathbf{R}_{N} \frac{dM}{dt} = \int_{s} \rho \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds$$
 (5)

Then with these new definitions, Eq. (3) can be reduced to

$$\mathbf{F} = M \frac{d\mathbf{V}^*}{dt} + \frac{d^2M}{dt^2} \left( \mathbf{R}^* - \mathbf{R}_N \right) + \frac{dM}{dt} \left( 2 \frac{d\mathbf{R}^*}{dt} - \frac{d\mathbf{R}_N}{dt} - \mathbf{v}_e \right)$$
 (6)

Equation (6) has been derived by Rankin<sup>3</sup> and Leitmann<sup>4</sup> by using an alternate form of Newton's second law.

Equation (6) can be reduced further by using an intermediate frame of reference fixed in the body at point 0, in a manner

Received October 13, 1964.

Graduate Assistant, Space Division; also Graduate Student at the University of Arizona. Student Member AIAA.

† Operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.